

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/536,838	05/31/2005	Declan Patrick Kelly	NL 021208	6545	
24737 7590 (71/17/2009) PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PHILIPPE, GIMS S		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2621	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/536.838 KELLY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Gims S. Philippe 2621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-8 and 13-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-892)  3) Formation-Disclosure-Statement(s) (PTO-852/08) Paper Nots/Mail Date 02/06/07.	0-948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date. 
S. Patent Trademark Office	Office Action Summers	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 2009071

Art Unit: 2621

## DETAILED ACTION

This is a first office action in response to application no. 10/536,838 filed on May 31, 2005 in which claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

 Claims 5, 7, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Keesen et al. (US Patent no. 7006756).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claims 5, 7, 13 and 15, Kessen discloses an apparatus and method for recording and editing a data stream comprising the steps of receiving the data stream (See Kessen col. 5, lines 18-20), parsing the data steam to find timestamps for each frame of the data stream, determining if the timestamp is correct (See Kessen col. 5, lines 5-11), correcting any timestamp which are incorrect (See Kessen col. 1, lines 60-67 and col. 2, line 1). The applicant should note that the parsing step will reveal the CPI in the data stream (See Kessen col. 4, lines 30-34). The applicant should also noted that the SI as disclosed in col. 4, lines 26-42 provides the CPI (which is Characteristic Point Information).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 6, 8, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keesen et al. (US Patent no. 7006756) in view of Iwamura (US Patent no. 5838876).

Regarding claims 6, 8, 14 and 16, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the above rejection of claims 5, 7, 13 and 15.

Art Unit: 2621

It is noted that Keesen is silent about receiving a frame number from a user interface for an edit point while calculating and searching an expected timestamp as specified in the claims.

However, Iwamura provides an apparatus and method for recording and editing a data stream including the steps of receiving a frame number from a user interface for an edit point while calculating and searching an expected timestamp (See Iwamura col. 8, lines 1-18 and lines 41-48).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying Keesen's editing method by incorporating Iwamura's teachings of receiving a frame number from a user interface for an edit point while calculating and searching an expected timestamp. The motivation for performing such a modification in Keesen is to display exactly the same track from the same pictures that a user selected during original recording/editing as taught by Iwamura (See Iwamura col. 8, lines 56-65).

- Claims 1-4 and 9-12 are allowed.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kelly et al. (US Patent no. 6952521) teaches method and apparatus for editing digital video recording, and recordings made by such methods.

Art Unit: 2621

Gandhi et al. (US Patent no. 6836514) teaches method for the detection and recovery of error in the frame overhead of digital video decoding systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S. Philippe whose telephone number is (571) 272-7336. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gims S Philippe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621 Application/Control Number: 10/536,838 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

/G. S. P./ /Gims S Philippe/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621